

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,553	05/08/2001	Jerry Shaw-Yau Chang	PA1640US	4034
22830 CARR & FER	7590 09/05/2007 DELLIED		EXAM	INER
2200 GENG R	OAD	APPLE, KIRSTEN SACHWITZ		
PALO ALTO,	CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09851553	5/8/01	CHANG ET AL.	PA1640US  EXAMINER	
CARR & FERRELL LLP 2200 GENG ROAD			James A Kramer	
PALO ALTO, CA 94303	3		ART UNIT	PAPER
		•	3693	20070828

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

The Office notes that the advisory action dated 6/5/07 did not include the last page ("Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)").

This page is being sent with this communication.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/851,553	CHANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kirsten S. Apple	3693	

Defense Alex Fillians of an American Dainf					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kirsten S. Apple	3693			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	ion.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 27 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ocause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ccause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	, ,			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	•		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
$oxed{H}_{\cdot}$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. 🛛 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			·		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an o	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:		/			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.		,			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	or necessary and		
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by of the reasons stated in the last office action mailed 2/2	<u>7/07.</u>	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	1/	1 1		
13. (7) Other: SEE Continuation JAJ	Han	Afran 5	29/07		
6ไฟ•า	/ ] J	<b>AMES A. KRAMER</b>			
-1.1		<b>SORY PATENT EXAMI</b> NOLOGY CENTER 360			
•	1 /				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)



Continuation of 13. Other: the applicant argued in his remarks

1) that Hultgren the customer communicates directly with the telephone 30 and therefore is simply not necessary for the customer to provide a customer ID to the merchant

2) Hultgren does not teach "the customer selecting a payment method and transmitting the selected payment method to the settlement

house"

The Examiner maintains that both of these steps are inherent in the prior art reference. In addition, the examiner would like to express that both of these steps are so well know that they both are Official Notice. Not only would one of ordinarly skill in art at the time of the invention know both of these steps any American with a credit card would know that when they swipe there card at a grocery store the credit card number (or customer identifier) is transmitted from the merchant (the grocery store) to the settlement house (VISA - or what ever company the card goes through) for payment. In addition, after swiping their card they need to select credit or debit (payment method) which also goes to the settlement house.